Be My Guest Welcoming Interoperability into IBC-Incompatible Blockchains

Michał Nazarewicz Dhruv D. Jain* Miguel Matos[†] Blas Rodriguez* *Inclusive Layer [†]IST Lisbon & INESC-ID

Dependable Systems and Networks | June 23–26, 2025

^{© 2025} IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted.

Fragmentation	IBC	Design	Evaluation	Conclusions
O	000	00000	00000	O
Outline				



2 The Inter-Blockchain Communication Protocol







- Blockchains isolation limits interoperability.
 - Employer pays salary on Solana.
 - Landlord expects rent on Cosmos.
- Trusted Bridging:
 - Transfer tokens to a third party on Solana.
 - Third party *pinky promises* to pay on Cosmos.
- Pinky promises can never be broken, right?
 - Blockchains are about decentralisation.
 - Hacks, scams and human errors happen.



The Inter-Blockchain Communication (IBC) protocol

IBC offers a trustless method for cross-chain communication.

Host blockchain requirements

- Light client
- State proofs
- Introspection
- Block time
- . . .





• In case of timeout user submits proof of non-delivery.

Fragmentation	IBC	Design	Evaluation	Conclusions
O	oo●	00000	00000	O
IBC Limitat	ions			

Host blockchain requirements

- \bullet State proofs \longrightarrow Unsupported by Solana
- \bullet Introspection \longrightarrow Unsupported by NEAR

Guest Blockchain Design



Guest Blockchain

- A virtual layer on top of the host blockchain.
- Implemented as a smart contract.
- Provides features required by IBC.
- Backed by a Proof-of-Stake consensus.

















• Merkle trie with *sealing* support.



- Sealing 'bar' value removes the leaf node.
- $\bullet\,$ Parent's both children are now sealed $\rightarrow\,$ it can be removed as well.















Guest Blockchain Evaluation



Guest Blockchain Evaluation

Location Solana mainnet connected to Picasso network Validators 24 Validators with a total stake of \$1.25 million (assuming 1SOL = 200USD) Period September 2024

Focus Cost and latency of the guest blockchain (contributions on the counterparty not measured)



Sending a Packet to the Counterparty

- All but three packets stored on finalised block within 21 s.
- Cost of \$1.40-3.02.
- Clustered costs due to different fee policies.





Receiving a Packet from the Counterparty

- Two step process:
 - Iight client update
 - 2 packet forwarding
- 50% of packets took less than 25 seconds;
 96% less than a minute.
- Cost below 15 cents.







Validator costs:

Off-chain connection to the host blockchain + cryptographic signature per guest block. On-chain 0.25–1.40 cents per guest block.

- Importance of incentives:
 - Slashing has not been implemented.
 - 7 out of 24 Validators did not participate.





- Applicable to all blockchains supporting smart contracts.
 - No need to modify host blockchain.
- Enables connection to IBC network.
 - Over 100 zones and \$1.2 billion volume in last 30 days.
- Minimal resource requirement for Validators.
 - Promise of rewards with low commitment.
- Small overhead.
 - Cost & latency in-line with Chervinski et al. (2023).

Thank you Questions?

Michał Nazarewicz <mina86@mina86.com>

Guest Blockchain implementation: https://codeberg.org/mina86/be-my-guest

Cite the Paper

M. Nazarewicz, D. D. Jain, M. Matos, and B. Rodriguez, "Be my guest: Welcoming interoperability into IBC-incompatible blockchains," 2025 55th Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks – Supplemental Volume (DSN-S), Naples, Italy, Jun. 2025, pp. 160–166, doi:10.1109/DSN-S65789.2025.00057.

Copyright Notice

 \bigcirc 2025 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.